

VZCZCXR07846

OO RUEHBI RUEHCI RUEHLL RUEHPW  
DE RUEHNE #9486/01 3501242

ZNY CCCCC ZZH

O 161242Z DEC 05

FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 7553

INFO RUEHBJ/AMEMBASSY BEIJING 9266

RUEHLM/AMEMBASSY COLOMBO 2382

RUEHKA/AMEMBASSY DHAKA 2401

RUEHIL/AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD 5226

RUEHBUL/AMEMBASSY KABUL 2125

RUEHKT/AMEMBASSY KATHMANDU 3038

RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON 7384

RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW 5756

RUEHKO/AMEMBASSY TOKYO 2476

RUEHCI/AMCONSUL CALCUTTA 0334

RUEHCG/AMCONSUL CHENNAI 9972

RUEHKP/AMCONSUL KARACHI 1281

RUEHLH/AMCONSUL LAHORE 1839

RUEHBI/AMCONSUL MUMBAI 9243

RUEHPW/AMCONSUL PESHAWAR 2532

RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC

RUEIDN/DNI WASHINGTON DC

RHHMUNA/CDR USPACOM HONOLULU HI

RUEHGV/USMISSION GENEVA 0243

RUCPDOC/DEPT OF COMMERCE WASHDC

RHHJJPI/PACOM IDHS HONOLULU HI

RHMFIA/HQ USSOCOM MACDILL AFB FL

RHMFIA/HQ USCENTCOM MACDILL AFB FL

RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC

RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 NEW DELHI 009486

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 12/16/2015

TAGS: [PGOV](#) [PINR](#) [PREL](#) [ETTC](#) [KNNP](#) [MNUC](#) [ENRG](#) [IN](#)

SUBJECT: PM SINGH ABLY DEFENDS RECIPROCAL NATURE OF INDO/US  
AGREEMENT IN PARLIAMENT

Classified By: Political Counselor Geoff Pyatt, for Reasons 1.4 (B, D)

¶11. (C) Summary: The BJP latched onto a leftist  
Parliamentary question on the July 18 civil nuclear agreement  
in an attempt to score points against the UPA. BJP  
heavyweights Yashwant Sinha (former Foreign Minister) and  
Sushma Swaraj asserted that the agreement was "stalemated,"  
and that the US was pressuring India regarding its civil  
nuclear facilities and tacking on added conditions. After a  
Minister of State for External Affairs fumbled his response,  
the PM personally intervened, asserting that the agreement  
was fully reciprocal, was making good progress, and that  
India's actions regarding its civil nuclear facilities were  
completely voluntary. Afterwards, MPs from Congress and the  
BJP praised the PM's robust performance. The July 18  
Agreement holds little political resonance for the  
opposition, as it is too complex, and most Indians support  
its implementation. The BJP has apparently decided to  
concentrate on simpler issues, such as bribery scandals, that  
have more traction in Parliament and in the media. End  
Summary.

The Left Launches the Attack

¶12. (U) The July 18 civil-nuclear agreement came back to the  
floor of Parliament on December 15 when Manoj Bhattacharya, a  
Revolutionary Socialist Party (RSP) MP asked whether the US  
administration has been seeking more concessions and "placing  
the burden of meeting conditions associated with the Indo-US  
Nuclear deal entirely on India?" Although the Left submitted  
the query, the BJP immediately jumped-in. Former Minister  
Yashwant Sinha and BJP delegation leader Sushma Swaraj Sinha  
queried the Congress bench regarding purported press

statements by U/S Burns that India should first begin to carry out its obligations if it wanted US cooperation in civil nuclear energy, and asserted that the process was in "stalemate."

And the PM Saves the Day

---

¶3. (U) Minister of State Rao Inderjit Singh attempted to reply on behalf of the PM, but bungled his statement halfway through. PM Singh then personally replied, stating "We expect a close correlation between the action to be taken by the US and India, and that Indian actions will be contingent at every stage on actions taken by the other side. Therefore, the question of the US administration asking for more concessions to be made by India and placing the burden of fulfilling commitments contained in the Joint Statement entirely on India does not arise. ... If the US does not carry out its obligations, we are also free not to carry out ours. ...The sides have expressed their views on the road ahead and are working to evolve a road map. ...Our position remains as conveyed by the Prime Minister to Parliament on July 29, 2005, that our commitments would be conditional upon, and reciprocal to the US fulfilling its side of the understanding, and we expect a close correlation between the actions to be taken by the US and India, and that India's action will be contingent at every stage on actions taken by the other side."

¶4. (U) The PM also denied that the US was exerting pressure on India, and asserted that India's decision to put certain

NEW DELHI 00009486 002 OF 002

nuclear facilities under international supervision was India's alone. Clarifying that the process was not "stalemated," the PM stated that there had recently been a "good meeting" of the Joint Working Group and that another meeting would take place "soon."

The PM Gets Good Marks

---

¶5. (C) Congress MP Rashid Alvi, who was present for the debate, told us on December 15 that the "atmosphere in the house" was good, in that the often-boisterous MPs listened respectfully to the PM's statements. Alvi concluded that the PM had done a credible job of refuting the opposition's attacks and "the Rajya Sabha sounded much satisfied by his balanced remarks." BJP MP SS Ahluwalia told us on December 15 that his party raised the issue because it was concerned about "various statements coming out of US government officials and Congressmen calling upon India to take steps without mentioning what the USG was doing." Ahluwalia confirmed that the BJP was "satisfied" with the PM's response.

Comment - A Difficult Yet Popular Issue to Grasp

---

¶6. (C) The BJP has been looking for issues it could use to score points in Parliament against the UPA, but the PM's December 15 Parliamentary performance indicates that the July 18 Agreement has failed to resonate. The issue is too complex to wrap around simple slogans, with most MP's not fully read-in on its complexities, even if it does produce emotional sound-bites that the Left and BJP can exploit in the media. Moreover, most Indians remain supportive of US-India nuclear cooperation. Since the agreement and its complexities are too esoteric for the Indian man in the street, yet largely supported by him, the BJP has apparently determined not to press it. The opposition prefers to work issues that fit more easily into the traditional Indian political framework, such as corruption scandals. The PM's solid performance belied earlier fears that he would not be up to the job of defending India's foreign policy in the rough and tumble of Parliamentary debate. He also proved that the contours of the agreement make good sense for both

the US and India.

**¶7. (U) Visit New Delhi's Classified Website:**

(<http://www.state.sgov.gov/p/sa/newdelhi/>)

MULFORD